

regional scales (e.g. over the French National Forest Inventory data, Guillemot et al., in press). The latest version of the model incorporates a forest management module, providing CASTANEA the capacity to bridge the gap between ecophysiological and forestry models (Guillemot et al., 2014). More recently, a representation of the nitrogen cycle has been incorporated into the model (Delpierre et al., unpublished). Its main features consist in the representations of (i) nitrogen mineralization, nitrification and denitrification (Penillard, 2014; Geoffroy, 2014), (ii) transfers of N mineral forms (ammonium and nitrate) into the rhizosphere and their absorption by the root surface (Leadley et al., 1997; Delpierre & Leadley, unpublished), (iii) seasonal allocation of the absorbed N to plant organs, including its limiting effect on organ growth (Delpierre, unpublished). The resulting coupled carbon-water-nitrogen version of the CASTANEA model first needs to be parameterized and validated against independent observations. This is one objective of the **IMNIFOR** project.

We will then use a sensitivity analysis (SA) framework (Delpierre et al., 2012) in order to:

- to disentangle the influence of CO₂ fertilization, climate, N deposition and forest management on the recent productivity of European forests,
- evaluate the impact of the processes related to the nitrogen cycle in determining the production (i.e. biomass growth).

The SA framework uses model runs conducted alternatively with / without considering the influence of a given factor (e.g. CO₂ fertilization, climate variability) or process representation (e.g. diffusion of nutrients across the rhizosphere). The simulations obtained under these “constrained” conditions are then used to reconstruct the original time series (simulated under “unconstrained” conditions, i.e. all sources of variability being considered in the model run). This allows estimating the share of each factor in determining the variability of the process / flux of interest (e.g. wood production here).

3. What will the ICP data be used for?

The ICP data will be used for parameterizing the N modules on 4 European tree species (*Quercus robur/petraea*, *Fagus sylvatica*, *Picea abies* and *Pinus sylvestris*), and evaluating the coupled model on an independent dataset (i.e. using half of the data for parameterization, half for evaluation). Considering the large climate gradient spanned by the ICP network, the nature of the monitored variables (composition of the soil solution and leaf nutrient concentration, as regards the N data; LAI, stand growth and soil water as regards the C and water cycles) and the considered tree species, we envision the ICP dataset as very informative for our purpose.

References (bold = papers coordinated by N. Delpierre's group)

Allen, C. D., et al. (2015). On underestimation of global vulnerability to tree mortality and forest die-off from hotter drought in the Anthropocene. *Ecosphere*, 6(8), 1-55.

Anderegg, WR et al. (2013). Consequences of widespread tree mortality triggered by drought and temperature stress. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(1), 30-36.

* **Delpierre N** et al. (2012). Quantifying the influence of climate and biological drivers on the interannual variability of carbon exchanges in European forests through process-based modelling. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 154, 99-112.

* **Dufrêne E** et al. (2005). Modelling carbon and water cycles in a beech forest: Part I: Model description and uncertainty analysis on modelled NEE. *Ecological Modelling*, 185(2), 407-436.

Elser, J. J. et al. (2007). Global analysis of nitrogen and phosphorus limitation of primary producers in freshwater, marine and terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecology letters*, 10(12), 1135-1142.

* **Geoffroy P** . (2014). Modélisation des processus de nitrification et dénitrification d'un sol forestier. Master's dissertation (Univ. Paris-Sud). Supervisor: N. Delpierre.

Goll DS et al. (2012). Nutrient limitation reduces land carbon uptake in simulations with a model of combined carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus cycling. *Biogeosciences*, 9(9), 3547-3569.

* **Guillemot, J.** et al. (2014). Assessing the effects of management on forest growth across France: insights from a new functional–structural model. *Annals of Botany*, 114(4), 779-793.

* **Guillemot J** et al. (in press). Environmental control of carbon allocation matters for modelling forest growth. *New Phytologist*.

* **Leadley PW** et al. (1997). A model of nitrogen uptake by *Eriophorum vaginatum* roots in the field: ecological implications. *Ecological Monographs*, 67(1), 1-22.

Norby R J et al. (2010). CO₂ enhancement of forest productivity constrained by limited nitrogen availability. *PNAS*, 107(45), 19368-19373

Pan, Y. et al. (2011). A large and persistent carbon sink in the world's forests. *Science*, 333(6045), 988-993.

* **Penillard A.** (2014). Conception d'un modèle stratifié de décomposition de la matière organique du sol (février-juin 2014). Master's dissertation (Univ. Paris-Sud). Supervisor: N. Delpierre.

Wang YP et al. (2010). A global model of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus cycles for the terrestrial biosphere. *Biogeosciences*, 7(7), 2261-2282.

Yang X et al. (2014). The role of phosphorus dynamics in tropical forests—a modeling study using CLM-CNP. *Biogeosciences*, 11(6), 1667-1681.