United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP)

International Co-operative Programme on Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Forests (ICP Forests)

MANUAL

on

methods and criteria for harmonized sampling, assessment, monitoring and analysis of the effects of air pollution on forests

Part XII Sampling and Analysis of Needles and Leaves

Version 2020-3 (Last update 01/2022) Prepared by:

ICP Forests Expert Panel on Foliage and Litterfall

(Pasi Rautio, Alfred Fürst, Klaus Stefan, Hannu Raitio, Ulrich Bartels)

Rautio P, Fürst A, Stefan K, Raitio H, Bartels U, 2020: Part XII: Sampling and Analysis of Needles and Leaves. Version 2020-3. In: UNECE ICP Forests Programme Co-ordinating Centre (ed.): Manual on methods and criteria for harmonized sampling, assessment, monitoring and analysis of the effects of air pollution on forests. Thünen Institute of Forest Ecosystems, Eberswalde, Germany, 16 p. + Annex [http://www.icp-forests.org/Manual.htm].

Acknowledgements: The authors are grateful to members of UNECE ICP Forests Expert Panel on Foliage and Litterfall for providing their comments.

ISBN: 978-3-86576-162-0



All rights reserved. Reproduction and dissemination of material in this information product for educational or other non-commercial purposes are authorized without any prior written permission from the copyright holders provided the source is fully acknowledged. Reproduction of material in this information product for resale or other commercial purposes is prohibited without written permission of the copyright holder.

Application for such permission should be addressed to:

Programme Co-ordinating Centre of ICP Forests Thünen Institute of Forest Ecosystems Alfred-Möller-Str. 1, Haus 41/42 16225 Eberswalde Germany

Email: <u>pcc-icpforests@thuenen.de</u>

Eberswalde, 2020

CONTENTS

2 SCOPE AND APPLICATION	5
3 OBJECTIVES	6
4 LOCATION OF MEASUREMENTS AND SAMPLING	6
4.1 SAMPLING DESIGN	6
4.1.1 Selection of sample trees 4.1.2 Location and number of replicates	6 7
4.1.3 Selection of leaves and needles	8
4.2 SAMPLING FREQUENCY	9
4.2.1 Survey status and sampling frequency4.2.2 Date of sampling	9 9
4.3 SAMPLE COLLECTION, TRANSPORT AND STORAGE	9
4.3.1 Methods of sampling	9
4.3.2 Quantity of material to be sampled	10
4.3.3 Pre-treatment before sending the samples to the laboratories for analysis	10
5 MEASUREMENTS	10
5.1 MEASUREMENTS AND REPORTING UNITS	10
5.1.1 Selected variables	10
5.1.2 Analysis	11
5.2 QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL	14
5.2.1 Quality Assurance in the field	14 14
6 DATA HANDLING	16
6.1 DATA SUBMISSION	16
6.2 DATA VALIDATION	17
6.3 TRANSMISSION TO CO-ORDINATING CENTRES	17
6.4 DATA PROCESSING GUIDELINES	17
6.5 DATA REPORTING	17
7 REFERENCES	17
ANNEX I - CHOICE OF NEEDLES	19
ANNEX II - PLAUSIBLE RANGES OF ELEMENT CONCENTRATIONS	20

1 Introduction

The aims of forest condition assessments are the monitoring of the health state of forests, and the detection of time trends and spatial patterns. Insight in the causes of changes can only be achieved if additional parameters from other ecosystem compartments are available. The nutritional state of trees is often indicative of processes at the ecosystem level. Inadequate nutrient supply may be a direct cause of low tree vitality or a factor which increases adverse air pollution effects. High concentrations of certain elements in the leaf or needle tissues may be the effect of intoxication or of high air-pollution levels. Unfavourable chemical conditions in the rooting zone of the soil may also lead to imbalances in the nutrient supply and subsequently to unbalanced nutrition of the trees. Thus, sampling and analysis of needles and leaves is essential. The analyses have to be performed at regular time intervals in order to establish potential relationships between changes in the stand condition and changes of the nutritional status. In the studies of intermediate or high intensity (see below), foliage sampling must be frequent enough to detect trends in the mineral nutrition of trees, not biased by interannual fluctuations in element concentrations.

2 Scope and application

The objective of this Part of the Manual is to provide harmonized and standard procedures for the sampling and analysis of leaves and needles at the monitoring plots of the UNECE ICP Forests. Harmonization is necessary to permit trans-national studies on the spatiotemporal trends of nutritional status and the impact of air-pollutants of forest trees. Procedures are intended for application at both large-scale (Level I) and intensive (Level II and core) monitoring plots. To have their data used in the international database and evaluations, National Focal Centers and their scientific partners participating in the ICP Forests programme should follow the methods described here and achieve the reported data quality requirements. Parameters measured within the foliage survey are listed in Table 1.

Varia	able	Level I	Level II	Level II core
mass	of 100 leaves/ 1000 needles [g]	0	m	m
Ν	[mg/g]	0	m	m
S	[mg/g]	0	m	m
Р	[mg/g]	0	m	m
Ca	[mg/g]	0	m	m
Mg	[mg/g]	0	m	m
К	[mg/g]	0	m	m
С	[g/100g]	0	m	m
Zn	[µg/g]	0	0	0
Mn	[µg/g]	0	0	0
Fe	[µg/g]	0	0	0
Cu	[µg/g]	0	0	0
Pb	[µg/g]	0	0	0
Cd	[ng/g]	0	0	0
В	[µg/g]	0	0	0
As	[ng/g]	0	0	0

 Table 1: Parameters measured within the foliage survey (o: optional; m: mandatory)

Vari	able	Level I	Level II	Level II core
Со	[µg/g]	0	0	0
Cr	[µg/g]	0	0	0
Hg	[ng/g]	0	0	0
Ni	[µg/g]	0	0	0

3 Objectives

The objective of foliar analysis is to estimate the nutritional status of trees and the impact of air pollutants at the monitoring sites, the detection of time trends and spatial patterns and to contribute to the understanding and quantification of forest condition in Europe.

Specific objectives are:

- Quantification of the mean element concentrations of N, S, P, Ca, Mg, K and C with an accuracy level of +20% and other nutrients and heavy metals with the accuracy level of +30% with a documented statistical precision.
- Detection of temporal trends of mean element concentrations of nutrients and heavy metals on sample plots within 10 years with a documented statistical precision.

4 Location of measurements and sampling

4.1 Sampling design

4.1.1 Selection of sample trees

Sample trees (minimum of 5 trees) should be randomly selected to represent the population of trees fulfilling following qualifications

- only tree species listed in the species code in the Manual Part on data handling and the data submission forms are to be sampled. In case the plot represents a mixed stand the most common tree species (belonging to the dominant or predominant classes) is to be sampled
- the trees are spread over the total plot area, or around the plot, if the stand is homogeneous over a larger area;
- the trees belong to the predominant and dominant classes (forest with closed canopy) or to the trees with average height \pm 20% (forest with open canopy);
- the trees are different from those used for the crown assessment, so as to avoid crown damage due to successive samplings; if stand and site conditions are homogeneous on an area larger than the plot where the crown conditions have been

assessed, it is recommended to choose the sample trees outside the crown assessment plot;

In case of loss, or significant damage (to the trunk or crown), of sample tree(s) the new tree(s) has to be selected according to the selection criterion mentioned above.

The same sample trees should be sampled over the years; the trees must be numbered. For species with small crowns and small foliage mass, it is allowed to alternate between two sets of sample trees (minimum of 5 trees per set), if necessary, to avoid damage of the sample trees. Each set must correspond to the above conditions.

4.1.2 Location and number of replicates

4.1.2.1 Large-scale Level I plots

At least 5 trees should be sampled from the main species within a stand. A composite sample by species can be made by mixing equal quantities of each sample per plot after drying. If the trees are analysed individually, the mean value is calculated for each element for each plot based on the tree wise samples.

4.1.2.2 Standard Level II and core plots

In case there is no prior information about the representative sample size (i.e. number of sample trees) for the foliar parameter being measured (e.g. prior surveys or information in literature) sample size can be determined from a pilot study. Statistical analysis of the measurements should be made for each plot in order to ensure that the sampling procedure meets the specific objectives (see ICP Forests Manual Part II on Basic design principles for the ICP Forests monitoring networks).

In every case, at least 5 trees of the main species present in the plot have to be sampled but for statistical reasons it is recommended to sample more trees. The samples are individually preserved in bags for analysis. A composite sample by species can be made by mixing equal quantities of each sample per plot after drying. But to get information about the variation of each element on the plot (see above) it is recommended to analyse the samples from the trees individually, the mean value is calculated then for each element for each plot. In case more than one species is sampled per plot above procedure is repeated for each species (i.e. different species are not mixed at any point).

In case the stand where the monitoring plot is situating reaches the age of final cutting, or the stand is destroyed by e.g. storm or pest infestation, monitoring activities need to be either (i) moved to a new location, or (ii) remain in the same location in regenerated stand. If the plot is moved to a new stand the same rules as establishing a new monitoring plot applies (see Manual Part II, Ferretti et al. 2020). If the monitoring continues on the same location in an artificially or naturally regenerated stand, foliar sampling needs to be adapted to the new situation. It is recommended to wait until the dominant species (i.e. the species that prevailed in the stand before the cutting or destruction or that is aimed to be the dominant species in the future in the forest planning for the stand) reach the average height of 1.3 meters. In case sampling is done in seedling phase it is recommended to wait until the seedlings reach the average height of 50 cm. In case the regeneration has been abundant (thousands of seedlings / ha) destructive sampling can be applied in seedling phase, i.e. 5-10 individual seedlings) should be used. If the stand is regenerated artificially by

planting it is recommended to plant extra seedlings in case sampling in seedling phase is planned.

When sampling saplings or trees, sampling should not remove more than 5% of the total tree or sapling foliage biomass, hence composite samples consisting of several individual branches of several saplings or trees should be collected. When the sampling does not remove more than 5% of the total foliage biomass individual trees can be randomly selected and marked to be monitored individually in the following sampling periods. Selection of individual sample trees for permanent foliage monitoring should follow the rules listed in chapter 4.1.1 above.

When sampling seedlings, saplings or young trees, i.e. before the selection of permanent sample trees, sampling can be carried out in the buffer zone of the monitoring plot (Ferretti et al. 2020: Figure 2). In case seedlings, saplings or young trees are sampled in the actual monitoring plot, care must be taken that the sampling does not affect the stand structure by e.g. affecting the most viable seedlings, saplings or young trees. Therefore, sampling should be done randomly by e.g. systematically sampling every 10th sapling on a line crossing the plot or by some other random sampling method.

4.1.3 Selection of leaves and needles

In general, sampling must be carried out in such a way that all the orientations are represented in the set of sample trees. However, it is important that the sampled leaves or needles have developed in light; hence south-facing branches are recommended and orientations in the canopy that are shaded should be avoided. If necessary, it is allowed to sample different orientations on each tree of the sample set. In special sites with evident influence of one orientation (e.g. steep slopes or strong dominant wind) only one orientation is sampled, which always have to be the same. In such cases, it is necessary to document the orientation.

In general, the current year needles or leaves of evergreen species are most convenient for judging the actual nutritional state, but for a number of elements the comparison of element contents in older needles with that in current year needles is also of interest.

The sampled leaves or needles must be taken from the upper third of the crown, but not from the very first whorls in the conifers; in stands where the different whorls can be clearly identified it is advisable to sample between the 7th and the 15th whorl.

4.1.3.1 All species

It is necessary to take care that leaves or needles which are sampled are mature ones, especially for species which have several flushes per year (e.g, *Pinus halepensis*, *Pseudotsuga menziesii*, *Eucalyptus* spp., *Quercus* spp.). For *Larix* spp. and *Cedrus* spp. samples are taken of the short twigs of the previous year.

4.1.3.2 Deciduous species (including larch)

Sampling is done on current year leaves or needles.

4.1.3.3 Evergreen species

Sampling of both the current year needles or leaves and the second year needles or leaves (current+1) is (see Annex I):

- optional on Level I plots
- recommended on Level II and core plots

In case separation of older foliage age classes is not possible (e.g. in *Quercus ilex* leaves can be separated only into current leaves and older than current leaves) it is allowed to sample and analyse the 1) current foliage (C-foliage) and 2) older than current foliage (containing C+1, C+2, C+3 etc. age classes, cf. Annex I).

In case total nutrient budget in a plot is to be determined also older foliage than current and current+1 foliage (if present) needs to be sampled and analysed (cf. chapter 6.4)

4.2 Sampling frequency

4.2.1 Survey status and sampling frequency

4.2.1.1 Large-scale Level I plots

Foliar analysis is optional in extensive monitoring (Level I) plots. If a country decides to perform such analyses, it is recommended that sampling and analyses are performed at least every ten years parallel to soil survey.

4.2.1.2 Intensive Level II and core plots

Foliar analysis is mandatory on intensive monitoring (Level II) plots. Sampling and analysis must be performed at least every two years, but many environmental changes might require annual sampling to be detected. In case sampling is performed biannually, the sampling should be performed in uneven years (2021, 2023, 2025 etc.) to obtain simultaneous data from different countries.

4.2.2 Date of sampling

Deciduous species (including larch): Sampling must be performed during the second half of the growing season and before the very beginning of the autumnal yellowing and senescence.

Evergreen species: Sampling must be performed during the dormancy period. The length of dormancy period depends on the region. Samples collected in the beginning of the year are considered to represent previous year's conditions (e.g. samples collected in February 2022 are considered to represent 2021 sample collection). Member states are requested to define for each region, treating the plains and mountains within each region separately, the most convenient period for sampling and analysis of the different species, and to stick to this period.

4.3 Sample collection, transport and storage

4.3.1 Methods of sampling

As trees must not be felled, any convenient way of sampling is acceptable (e.g. climbing, treepruner or hydraulic lift), provided that it does not lead to contamination of the sample, to heavy tree damage, or to risk for the sampling team. Contamination of the samples, e.g. through contact by hands or soil, should be avoided. In case climbing is needed to reach the upper third of the crown, this can be facilitated by installing a permanent pulley and rope to the sample trees. This can help to reach the same position in the canopy, reduce damage to the trunk and to enhance safety. However, the pulley and rope must be installed so that the sample trees are not damaged. Also, in case crampons are used in climbing damage to the trunk must be avoided.

The sampled branches of each tree are stored in a bag for transport to laboratory (cf. 4.3.3). In case it is foreseen that transportation to laboratory takes long time, or takes place during warm weather, the samples should be stored in dark and cool during the transportation and during storage in laboratory before the pre-treatment.

4.3.2 Quantity of material to be sampled

The recommended minimum quantities are:

- 10-20 grams of fresh needles or leaves (resulting in 5-10 grams of dry material) for each sampled age class for mandatory analysis;
- 20-30 grams of fresh needles or leaves for both mandatory and optional analysis.

Each country may decide to sample a larger quantity of leaf material, according to the needs of its own analytical methods, or in order to conserve samples for future analysis. It is recommended to store dried, ground samples for the future use.

4.3.3 Pre-treatment before sending the samples to the laboratories for analysis

For broad-leaved trees, it may be advisable to detach the leaves from the twigs (and for some species, the small leaves from the axis) but this is not necessary for conifer needles. The shoots of the current year and those of the second year are separated and preserved in separate bags. The use of perforated resistant paper bags or polyethylene bags is recommended. If the samples cannot be sent immediately to the laboratory they have to be dried in a clean ventilated room keeping the bags open, after which they should be stored in a cool place before sending. If samples are used also for visual assessment of possible discolourations and damages (see chapter 5.1.2.1.) the samples should be frozen after sampling or alternatively a sub-sample should be collected and frozen until the visual assessment. Great care must be taken to mark each sample clearly (forest, number of plot, number of tree, species, age of needles, etc.) before sending it to the laboratory for analysis. These identifications must be given on the outer side of the bag (directly on the bag by indelible ink, or by clasping a label on the bag). It is recommended to repeat these identifications on the inner side of the bag on a paper label written with indelible ink. The label should be folded in order to avoid leaves or needle contamination by contact with ink.

5 Measurements

5.1 Measurements and reporting units

5.1.1 Selected variables

Levels I and II and core plots:

• mandatory: dry mass of 100 leaves or 1000 needles, N, S, P, Ca, Mg, K and C

• optional: Zn, Mn, Fe, B, Pb, Cu, Cd, As, Cr, Co, Hg and Ni

The total concentration of elements in needles or leaves and dry mass of 100 leaves or 1000 needles must be given by reference to 105°C-dried material (Table 2).

 Table 2: Drying temperatures

Туре о	of sample	Drying temperature
А.	Samples for the measurement of dry mass of 100 leaves or 1000 needles	105 °C
B1.	Leaves / needles drying before grinding	≤ 70 °C*
B2.	Powder for moisture content determination (subsample of	105 °C
	B1), not chemically analysed	

* In case arsenic is analysed, it is recommended to use lower drying temperature (and longer drying time) to avoid potential As losses due to too high temperature.

5.1.2 Analysis

5.1.2.1 Pre-treatment of the samples

Before drying and further processing the samples it is recommended to record additional information about colour, discolouration (e.g. symptoms of nutrient deficiency) and symptoms of ozone injury, different diseases and insect attacks and other damage on the needle/leaf samples. The method of this visual assessment should follow the procedures (methods and formats) used in the Crown Condition Assessment, the Damage Cause Assessment and Symptoms of Ozone Injury Assessment.

The determination of the dry mass of 100 leaves or 1,000 needles (by drying at 105°C) is mandatory for Level II (Table 2). It is not necessary to cut the petioles of the leaves but in case of compound leaves it may be advisable to detach the small leaves from the axis if this has not been done in the forest. Use polyethylene gloves to avoid contamination. It is not necessary to wash the samples regularly, but it may be advisable in regions with a high level of air pollution or near the sea. The samples shall be washed with distilled water. Oven drying must be done at a maximum of 70°C for at least for 24 hours, and in any case till constant weight is achieved (Table 2). Because there are not enough references to specify safe drying temperatures for arsenic, it is recommended to use lower drying temperature (and longer drying time) in case As is analysed. After drying the needles shall be removed from the twigs with the same precautions as for detaching the small leaves from their axis. Dry samples shall be ground in order to obtain a fine homogeneous powder. There will always remain some fibres, depending on the tree species; this is not a major inconvenience if they are small and if the powder is mixed carefully before taking samples for analysis. For Mn, Fe, Cu, Cd, Pb, Cr and Ni determination, it has to be assured that the grinder does not contaminate the samples. The grinder should be tested for the release of contaminating materials with dried fibrous cellulose. Before and after grinding the leaf/needle materials such test should be carried out.

5.1.2.2 Digestion (or ashing) and analysis

The recommended methods for digestion or ashing and analysis are given in Table 3a and 3b. Other methods may be allowed, but each country must validate the national method. To assure the homogeneity of the sample a minimum sample amount of 100 - 200 mg depending on the particle size for the analysis is recommended. It is necessary to compare

the total element concentrations obtained by national methods with those of reference standard samples and with samples of the interlaboratory comparison tests (Fürst 2019, 2020).

Table 3a: Analytical pre-treatment procedures recommended for the analysis of foliage (orderedby element and frequency used in the ringtests).

Parameter	Pre-treatment	Comments
Ν	No pre-treatment	for element-analyzer
	Kjeldahl digestion method	Possible catalysts: Se, Cu, Ti/Cu, Hg
	(sulphuric acid + catalyst)	
S, Hg	No pre-treatment	for element-analyzer
	Microwave pressure digestion – closed system (nitric acid or nitric acid mixtures)	Contamination or losses are possible for Hg
	Pressure digestion – closed system (nitric acid or nitric acid mixtures)	Contamination or losses are possible for Hg
P, K, Ca, Fe, Mg,Mn	Microwave pressure digestion – closed system (nitric acid or nitric acid mixtures)	Contamination is possible from the dish washer (P, K), glass ware (Ca, Mg, K) water (Ca, Mg) and dust (Fe). Depending of the sample type Fe is not completely dissolved (fixed on the silica matrix) – a higher temperature (>200°C) is needed.
	Pressure digestion – closed system (nitric acid or nitric acid mixtures)	Contamination is possible from the dish washer (P, K), glass ware (Ca, Mg, K), water (Ca, Mg) and dust (Fe)
	Wet ashing at room pressure – open system (nitric acid or nitric acid mixtures)	Contamination is possible from the dish washer (P, K), glass ware (Ca, Mg, K), water (Ca, Mg) and dust (Fe)
As, B, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Pb, Ni, Zn	Microwave pressure digestion – closed system (nitric acid or nitric acid mixtures) Pressure digestion – closed system (nitric acid or nitric acid mixtures)	Contamination is possible from the dish washer, water and dust Glass ware should not be used for B
С	No pre-treatment	for element-analyzer

Table 3b: Analytical determination procedures recommended for the analysis of foliage (ordered by element and frequency used in the ringtests).

Parameter	Determination	Comments			
Ν	element-analyzer	To avoid homogeneity problems a minimum sample weight of >100mg should be used, or an extra milling step is necessary.			
	Titration after Kjeldahl digestion				
S	ICP-AES	e.g. 181.972nm			
	element-analyzer	To avoid homogeneity problems a minimum sample weight of >100mg should be used, or an extra milling step is necessary.			
Р	ICP-AES	e.g. 213.618nm			
	VIS-Photometry	All organic P compound must be digested to PO_4^{3-} .			
Ca	ICP-AES	ICP-AES method is a very sensitive method, it is better to use a not so sensitive line (e.g. 317.933nm or 318.127nm)			
	Flame-AAS	If you use an air/acetylene flame a spectral buffer (e.g. La ²⁺ or EDTA) must be added and matrix adapted blank and standard solutions (same acid concentrations like the sample) are necessary.			
Mg	ICP-AES	ICP-AES method is a very sensitive method, it is better to use a not so sensitive line (e.g. 285.213nm).			
	Flame-AAS	Matrix adapted blank and standard solutions (same acid concentrations like the sample) are necessary.			
К	ICP-AES	e.g. 766.491nm or 769.867nm			
	Flame-AAS	If you use an air/acetylene flame a ionisation buffer (e.g. CsCl) must be added and matrix adapted blank and standard solutions (same acid concentrations like the sample) are necessary.			
С	element-analyzer				
Zn	ICP-AES	e.g. 213.857nm			
	ICP-MS				
Mn	ICP-AES	e.g. 257.610nm or 260.568nm			
	Flame-AAS	Matrix adapted blank and standard solutions (same acid concentrations like the sample) are necessary.			
	ICP-MS				
Fe	ICP-AES	e.g. 238.204nm			
	ICP-MS				
Cu	ICP-AES	e.g. 327.395nm or 324.754nm			
	ICP-MS	Recommend for low concentrations < 3µg/g			
Pb	ICP-MS				
	Flameless-AAS	a matrix modifier should be used (e.g. Pd)			

Parameter	Determination	Comments
Cd	ICP-MS	
	Flameless-AAS	a matrix modifier should be used (e.g. Pd)
В	ICP-AES	e.g. 249.772nm Contamination problems (glass ware should not be used)
	ICP-MS	Contamination problems (glass ware should not be used)
As	ICP-MS	
Со	ICP-MS	
Cr	ICP-MS	
	ICP-AES	e.g. 267.716nm
Hg	Mercury-analyzer	
	ICP-MS	
Ni	ICP-MS	
	ICP-AES	e.g. 341.476nm

5.2 Quality Assurance and Quality Control

5.2.1 Quality Assurance in the field

A national reference manual or standard operating procedures should be prepared, in which each step in the monitoring procedure is strictly defined and given to the personnel in connection with training in the field. Every step of the work, including special precautions needed to avoid contamination during sampling, coding system to identify the samples later on etc. should be well documented.

Communication between the field personnel and central project manager should be regular. All the operations and specific incidents or observations at the plot should be noted in the sampling logbook. When possible, visits should be made to the sampling plots and the sampling procedures checked by the project manager at least once a year.

5.2.2 Quality Assurance in the laboratory

The quality of the foliar analytical data is controlled by the regular organisation of Interlaboratory Comparisons (ring tests) by the Forest Foliar Co-ordinating Centre. Guidelines for QA/QC procedures in the laboratory are given in the Sub-manual Quality Assurance and Control in Laboratories. Documentary proof of the QA/QC adopted in each laboratory must be submitted, together with the annual results, to the European-level data centre (*FO.LQA File).

5.2.2.1 Plausibility limits

The plausibility limits (5-95 Percentile) for different tree species based on the Level II surveys are given in the Annex II.

5.2.2.2 Data completeness

All parameters reported in the *.plf, *.fot and *FO.lqa files are mandatory to report. The parameters S, N, P, Ca, Mg, K and C are mandatory to report in the *.fom file. All other

parameters are optional. When a country/federal state decides to report optional parameters, they should also fulfil the data quality requirements and submit respective observations with the *FO.LQA file for these parameters. All reported values should be measured according to the methods described in chapter 5.1.

5.2.2.3 Data quality objectives or tolerable limits

See the manual part XVI Quality Assurance and Control in Laboratories, and Table 4 for the tolerable limits of the measured parameters in the Interlaboratory Comparisons.

Parameter	Unit	Conc.	Tolerable	Conc.	Tolerable	max.
		Range	Limit	Range high	Limit	accept.
		low	low		high	LOQ
Arsenic	ng/g	< 50	30%	> 50	20%	50
Boron	µg/g	< 5.0	30%	> 5.0	20%	1
Cadmium	ng/g		30%		30%	50
Calcium	mg/g	< 3.0	15%	> 3.0	10%	0.5
Carbon	g/100g		5%		5%	10
Chromium	µg/g	< 1	35%	> 1	25%	1
Cobalt	µg/g	< 0.1	35%	> 0.1	25%	0.1
Copper	µg/g		20%		20%	1
Iron	µg/g	< 20	30%	> 20	20%	5
Lead	µg/g	< 0.50	40%	> 0.50	30%	0.50
Magnesium	mg/g	< 0.50	15%	> 0.50	10%	0.3
Manganese	µg/g	< 20	20%	> 20	15%	5
Mercury	ng/g	< 50	30%	> 50	20%	20
Nickel	µg/g	< 1	30%	> 1	20%	1
Nitrogen	mg/g	< 5.0	15%	> 5.0	10%	2
Phosphorous	mg/g	< 0.50	15%	> 0.50	10%	0.3
Potassium	mg/g	< 1.0	15%	> 1.0	10%	0.5
Sulphur	mg/g	< 0.50	20%	> 0.50	15%	0.3
Zinc	µg/g	< 20	20%	> 20	15%	5

Table 4: Inter-laboratory tolerable limits for high and low concentrations and the maximum accepted limit of quantification of mandatory and optional foliage parameters.

5.2.2.4 Data quality limits

The national laboratories have to participate annually in the needle/leaf inter-laboratory test organized by Forest Foliar Coordinating Centre of ICP Forests. The laboratory results are considered of sufficient quality when the laboratory received a qualification for the concerning parameter(s) after participation in the Interlaboratory Comparisons.

The foliar chemical Interlaboratory Comparisons should include at least four samples. When 50% or more of these samples in the ring test are within the tolerable limits, the laboratory is qualified to analyse the concerning parameter. The results of the chemical analyses are evaluated and have to be within the limits listed in Table 4

6 Data handling

6.1 Data submission

Forms for data submission (*.plf, *.fot, *.fom) and explanatory items are annually developed by the Programme Coordinating Centre of ICP Forests and are adopted by the Programme Task Force. The quality information from the labs has to be sent together with the relevant forms to the data centre using the submission form (*FO.LQA). The form and related explanatory items are available at the ICP Forests webpage.

6.2 Data validation

Data checks should be done as soon as results from the analyses are available. Data validation and quality assurance should be applied in accordance with the guidelines for QA/QC procedures in the laboratory that are given in the manual part XVI Quality Assurance and Control in Laboratories". The countries should use these methods (e.g. plausible ranges) to check data before submitting it. Excel files for analytical data validation, definition of a laboratory's methods including QA/QC procedures, and a control chart can be downloaded from the ICP Forests web page (http://icp-forests.net/group/qualityinlaboratories/page/ foliage-and-litterfall-ringtest-and-qa-qc-information)

6.3 Transmission to co-ordinating centres

All validated data should be sent yearly to the European central data storage facility at the ICP Forests Programme Coordinating Centre. Detailed time scheduled is provided by the relevant bodies.

6.4 Data processing guidelines

In case sample trees have been analysed separately a mean should be computed and submitted to the database. If trees are analysed separately the variation within plot should be examined in order to possibly reshape the sampling protocol. If total foliar element budgets of canopies are to be determined all the foliage age classes need to be sampled and analysed (cf. Rautio 2009: chapter 4). Further an estimation of total canopy foliage mass needs to be determined either by cutting down a new set of sample trees (outside the sample plots) and weighing the total foliage mass or by using existing biomass functions for different tree species (see e.g. Kittredge 1944, Zianis et al. 2005, Muukkonen & Mäkipää 2006, Repola 2009).

6.5 Data reporting

For details to the data submissions see Section 6.1. If values are below the *quantification* limit (not the detection limit), a value of -1 should be reported in the monitoring data submission forms (*.fom). The quantification limit should be reported in the quality file (*FO.LQA). Definitions of the quantification and detection limits can be found in Section 2.3 of the manual part XVI Quality Assurance and Control in Laboratories"

7 References

Ferretti M, Fischer R, Mues V, Granke O, Lorenz M, Seidling W, 2017: Part II: Basic design principles for the ICP Forests Monitoring Networks. In: UNECE ICP Forests Programme Co-ordinating Centre (ed.): Manual on methods and criteria for harmonized sampling, assessment, monitoring and analysis of the effects of air pollution on forests. Thünen Institute of Forest Ecosystems, Eberswalde, Germany, 21 p + Annex. [http://www.icpforests.net/page/icp-forests-manual]

- Fürst, A. 2019: 21st Needle/Leaf Interlaboratory Comparison Test 2018/2019. Technical report QA-RFoliar19 in cooperation with ICP Forests. ISBN 978-3-903258-12-19. Forest Foliar Coordinating Centre / BFW, Vienna, Austria.
- Fürst, A. 2020: 22nd Needle/Leaf Interlaboratory Comparison Test 2019/2020. Technical QA-RFoliar20 in cooperation with ICP Forests. ISBN 978-3-903258-20-4. Forest Foliar Coordinating Centre / BFW, Vienna, Austria.
- Kittredge, J. 1944. Estimation of the amount of foliage of trees and stands. Journal of Forestry 42(12): 905-912.
- Muukkonen, P. & Mäkipää, R. 2006. Biomass equations for European trees: addendum. Silva Fennica 40(4): 763-773.
- Rautio, P. (ed.) 2009. FutMon (Life+) field protocol: Sampling procedure for evaluation of nutrient budgets in vegetation in FutMon intensive monitoring plots and more intensive foliage surveys (D2). 7 p.
- Repola, J. 2009. Biomass equations for Scots pine and Norway spruce in Finland. Silva Fennica 43(4): 625-647.
- Zianis, D., Muukkonen, P., Mäkipää, R. & Mencuccini, M. 2005. Biomass and stem volume equations for tree species in Europe. Silva Fennica Monographs 4: 1-63.

Annex I - Choice of needles



Needle sets

- 0: current (C-needles)
- 1: current+1 (C+1-needles)
- 2: current+2 (C+2 needles)
- 3: current+3 (C+3-needles)
- 4: current+4 (C+4-needles)

etc

Annex II - Plausible ranges of element concentrations

Plausible ranges of element concentrations in the foliage in dependence of different tree species and leaf types. The figures express the lower and upper bound of the respective range. They are computed from the Level II data sets as 5 - 95 percentile from survey years 2011-2020. Default ranges are calculated generally for all tree species and leaf types and are listed at the bottom of the table.

Tree species	Leaf	As	В	С	Са	Cd	Со	Cr	Cu	Fe	Hg
	type										
		ng/g	µg/g	g/100g	mg/g	ng/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	ng/g
Abies alba	0	-	13.38 - 40.76	51.71 - 54.58	2.49 - 11.36	16.42 - 427.87	-	-	1.78 - 5.98	25.30 - 120.50	-
	1	-	13.85 - 38.65	51.17 - 54.66	3.21 - 17.82	-	-	-	1.61 - 5.07	35.60 - 139.40	-
Fagus sylvatica	0	-	10.81 - 42.73	47.62 - 53.05	3.17 - 15.35	27.76 - 160.12	0.02 - 0.11	0.14 - 0.76	4.45 - 10.76	59.00 - 129.90	-
Fraxinus excelsior	0	-	20.90 - 29.50	46.13 - 47.63	12.68 - 25.52	-	-	-	6.36 - 12.45	61.40 - 88.20	-
Picea abies	0	-	7.10 - 35.68	49.80 - 53.68	1.33 - 7.46	14.81 - 123.05	0.03 - 0.43	0.14 - 1.80	1.78 - 4.38	20.90 - 78.50	6.88 - 20.60
	1	-	5.20 - 25.10	49.62 - 53.83	1.75 - 9.79	15.00 - 125.84	0.03 - 0.26	0.19 - 1.96	1.61 - 3.98	24.90 - 96.30	13.11 - 32.36
Picea sitchensis	0	-	5.77 - 27.85	50.98 - 52.87	0.58 - 4.64	1.60 - 33.00	-	-	2.04 - 4.16	28.50 - 49.50	-
	1	-	6.21 - 25.58	51.21 - 53.12	1.25 - 6.73	4.00 - 31.40	-	-	2.11 - 4.37	29.50 - 84.50	-
Pinus nigra	0	-	-	51.93 - 54.63	1.38 - 4.98	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1	-	-	52.12 - 55.01	2.30 - 7.28	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pinus pinaster	0	-	-	50.37 - 52.40	1.48 - 2.74	-	-	-	1.78 - 5.03	23.40 - 44.80	-
Pinus sylvestris	0	-	10.00 - 33.11	49.34 - 54.12	1.64 - 4.98	58.34 - 258.26	0.06 - 0.26	0.11 - 0.96	2.70 - 5.18	29.50 - 70.40	-
	1	-	9.77 - 39.21	50.04 - 54.76	2.74 - 8.24	62.75 - 294.60	0.04 - 0.22	0.17 - 0.91	2.40 - 4.81	39.70 - 94.70	-
Pseudotsuga	0	-	-	51.21 - 53.50	2.51 - 4.44	-	-	-	2.95 - 4.96	43.90 - 108.20	-
menziesii	1	-	-	51.45 - 54.04	3.58 - 6.38	-	-	-	2.64 - 4.14	75.40 - 168.30	-
Quercus cerris	0	-	12.38 - 75.49	46.85 - 54.64	3.76 - 9.68	-	-	-	-	76.80 - 140.10	-
Quercus ilex	0	-	-	46.21 - 51.29	1.16 - 10.32	-	-	-	-	-	-
Quercus petraea	0	-	17.89 - 59.59	47.10 - 51.50	3.66 - 9.06	28.72 - 100.00	-	-	2.64 - 8.85	61.10 - 136.80	-
Quercus robur	0	-	22.76 - 73.80	46.27 - 53.19	4.76 - 14.26	23.90 - 130.64	-	-	3.99 - 11.00	58.20 - 211.90	-
Default		1.80 - 221.30	5.20 - 75.49	44.18 - 57.00	0.58 - 25.52	1.60 - 609.70	0.02 - 0.67	0.11 - 4.47	0.27 - 16.46	1.80 - 221.30	6.55 - 62.85

Tree species	Leaf	К	Mg	Mn	N	Ni	Р	Pb	S	Zn
	type									
		mg/g	mg/g	µg/g	mg/g	µg/g	mg/g	µg/g	mg/g	µg/g
Abies alba	0	3.40 - 8.40	0.71 - 2.62	136.30 - 2546.80	11.00 - 16.30	-	0.79 - 2.51	0.10 - 1.57	0.90 - 1.50	19.70 - 59.70
	1	2.90 - 7.00	0.46 - 2.50	173.50 - 3924.00	11.80 - 16.80	-	0.72 - 2.00	-	0.90 - 1.40	19.60 - 58.40
Fagus sylvatica	0	3.80 - 10.60	0.56 - 2.75	172.60 - 3260.00	18.60 - 28.20	0.29 - 3.68	0.81 - 1.82	0.14 - 1.46	1.10 - 2.10	15.10 - 41.00
Fraxinus excelsior	0	10.80 - 20.70	2.17 - 3.69	11.90 - 40.20	19.20 - 25.80	-	1.64 - 2.20	0.15 - 0.61	2.90 - 4.80	8.70 - 19.00
Picea abies	0	2.60 - 8.30	0.57 - 1.76	223.60 - 2485.00	10.20 - 17.10	0.38 - 5.60	0.98 - 2.07	0.06 - 0.84	0.70 - 1.20	12.50 - 49.00
	1	2.60 - 7.00	0.36 - 1.60	238.90 - 3175.30	9.40 - 16.10	0.40 - 4.87	0.79 - 1.84	0.11 - 1.04	0.70 - 1.20	9.20 - 55.60
Picea sitchensis	0	5.60 - 10.90	0.65 - 1.29	89.80 - 313.70	10.20 - 17.20	-	1.30 - 2.02	0.05 - 0.37	0.80 - 1.20	13.50 - 26.50
	1	4.00 - 8.10	0.52 - 1.20	99.00 - 394.80	11.40 - 18.70	-	1.14 - 1.77	0.05 - 0.49	0.90 - 1.30	9.60 - 18.40
Pinus nigra	0	3.70 - 10.00	0.75 - 2.19	-	8.10 - 17.90	-	0.79 - 1.53	-	0.80 - 1.30	-
	1	3.10 - 6.50	0.54 - 1.57	-	10.20 - 18.60	-	0.73 - 1.11	-	0.70 - 1.30	-
Pinus pinaster	0	3.20 - 7.20	1.16 - 1.91	37.40 - 426.00	6.30 - 14.00	-	0.59 - 1.16	-	0.60 - 1.00	16.90 - 38.40
Pinus sylvestris	0	3.70 - 7.00	0.58 - 1.33	168.20 - 1309.80	12.10 - 19.10	0.47 - 3.96	1.07 - 1.78	0.08 - 0.65	0.80 - 1.30	26.20 - 59.90
	1	3.30 - 6.30	0.40 - 1.13	241.90 - 1978.50	12.40 - 19.20	0.27 - 2.91	0.99 - 1.64	0.17 - 0.78	0.80 - 1.30	27.50 - 80.20
Pseudotsuga	0	5.40 - 8.40	0.97 - 1.58	494.00 - 2281.00	14.90 - 18.80	-	1.05 - 1.46	-	1.00 - 1.50	12.80 - 37.10
menziesii	1	4.50 - 6.40	1.08 - 1.56	780.00 - 4037.00	15.80 - 23.40	-	0.95 - 1.19	-	1.20 - 2.00	18.70 - 40.70
Quercus cerris	0	2.10 - 9.90	0.30 - 2.44	348.90 - 1399.30	18.30 - 29.00	-	0.97 - 2.32	-	1.20 - 2.70	18.50 - 29.00
Quercus ilex	0	0.90 - 8.30	0.39 - 2.68	-	9.00 - 24.80	-	0.39 - 1.37	-	0.90 - 2.20	-
Quercus petraea	0	4.40 - 10.10	0.99 - 2.18	725.90 - 3520.00	19.40 - 27.90	-	0.87 - 2.10	0.15 - 0.87	1.10 - 1.90	12.10 - 25.60
Quercus robur	0	5.00 - 12.20	1.22 - 2.95	269.50 - 2556.50	19.10 - 29.20	1.11 - 2.69	1.01 - 2.65	0.14 - 7.12	1.30 - 1.90	12.70 - 28.80
Default		0.93 - 20.71	0.21 - 5.53	4.40 - 4151.40	5.94 - 29.19	0.27 - 7.00	0.18 - 3.09	0.05 - 15.49	0.56 - 4.80	5.81 - 106.98

Annex III - Minor changes after 2020

Date	Minor change to latest published version in 2020	Affected sections of this document
12/2021	Table of plausible ranges of element concentrations fully updated with new values, recalculated by Alfred Fürst in April 2021	Annex II (page 19 - 20)
01/2022	Correcting editing error in Table 1 (columns swap): All measurements on Level I plots are optional. Measurements of mass, N, S, P, Ca, Mg, K, C are mandatory on Level II core plots.	Table 1 (page 5 -6)